

**Corrections and additions to**  
**Polytopes, rings, and K-theory**

W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze

If you should find a mistake in of our book, mathematical or typographical, please let us know by e-mail to

wbruns@uos.de

---

**22 May 2024**

---

**p. 4, l. 10**  $\alpha : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

**p. 10, 1.B, l. 1** set  $\rightarrow$  sets

**p. 10, l. -1** A subset  $C$

**p. 13, l. -16**  $C = H_{\lambda_1}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{\lambda_n}^+ \subset V$

**p. 29, l. -19**  $P'_n \rightarrow P_n$

**same page, l. -11** Delete  $P =$  from  $P = \text{conv}(F, x)$ .

**p. 30, Thm. 1.54, l. 3**  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma$

**same page, l. -8**  $\mathbb{R}_+ D, D \in \Sigma'$

**p. 31, l. 5** connected  $\rightarrow$  convex

**p. 33, l. -10**  $n = \#\text{vert}(\Pi)$

**same page, l. -3**  $f$  is the support function of  $\Pi'$ .

**p. 56, proof of 2.16(d)  $\implies$  (c),** dimension  $d \rightarrow$  dimension  $r$

**p. 60, Theorem 2.29** Exchange  $p$  and  $q$  in the last sentence.

**p. 71, l. -1**  $v \equiv \pm q$  ( $p$ ) or  $vq \equiv \pm 1$  ( $p$ )

**p. 86, Exerc. 2.17** add the hypothesis:  $P$  different from the unit simplex

**p. 139, l. -4** Corollary 2.35(b)  $\rightarrow$  Proposition 2.35(b)

**Chapter 4** The definition of *monomial ideal* was forgotten. It is an ideal in a monoid algebra  $R[M]$  generated by elements of  $M$ .

**p. 137, Theorem 4.32** Replace -- in (b) and (c) by --

**p. 147, Class groups of monoid algebras, l. 2** monomial ideal in  $R \rightarrow$  monomial ideal in  $R[M]$

**p. 180, l. -2**  $y \in M \rightarrow y \in \bar{M}$

**p. 198, Notes to Ch. 5** The main source for Section 5.D is W. BRUNS AND J. GUBELADZE, Polytopal linear groups, *J. Algebra* **218** (1999), 715–737.

**p. 200, Theorem 6.1, l. 2** all maximal  $M$ -sequences in  $I$

**p. 207, l. 1**  $\varphi_m \rightarrow \varphi_{m+1}$

**p. 207, l. 1**  $\varphi_m \rightarrow \varphi_{m+1}$

**p. 207, l. -12** degrees occurring in  $\mathbb{k}$

**p. 208, l. 6**  $R_0 \cap \mathfrak{m}$

**p. 210, l. 9**  $g \in \mathbb{Z}^r$

**p. 213, Example 6.27(a)**  $\omega_R = X_1 \cdots X_m R$

**p. 214, l. -11**  ${}^*\mathrm{Ext}_S^{n-d}(\omega', \omega_S) = R$

**same page, l. -10**  $\omega' = {}^*\mathrm{Ext}_S^{n-d}(R, \omega_S)$

**same line**  $(n-d)$ th total Betti number

**p. 215, l. 1**  ${}^*\mathrm{Ext}_R^d(\mathbb{k}, \omega_S) \rightarrow {}^*\mathrm{Ext}_R^d(\mathbb{k}, \omega')$

**same page, l. 4**  ${}^*\mathrm{Ext}_R^d(\mathbb{k}, \omega_S) \rightarrow {}^*\mathrm{Ext}_S^d(\mathbb{k}, \omega')$

**same page, 6.29** Change label of last part from (c) to (d)

**p. 217, (6.7)** Replace -- by -

**p. 217, l. -14** insert space after “splits”

**p. 231, Theorem 6.53** In (a)(iii)  $r = \text{rank } M$ .

**p. 247, Exercise 6.11** Delete “normal” from the hypothesis.

**p. 247, Exercise 6.15** replace  $d$  by  $r$  (the number of factors in the denominator of  $F(t)$ ).

**p. 316, 8.41, l. 5**  $\text{rank } d \rightarrow \text{rank} \geq d$

**p. 325, l. -4** Lopez → Logar

**p. 346, l. 4** suslin → Suslin

**p. 350, l. -14** quillen → Quillen

**p. 393, l. 17** totaro → Totaro

**p. 405, l. -7**  $dt \rightarrow dT$

**p. 426, Exercise 10.5** Franchisco Santos suggested the following fast construction of the “bordism” polytope  $\tilde{P}$ . Let  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be any support polytope for a projective unimodular triangulation  $\mathcal{F}$  of the fan  $\mathcal{N}(P)$ . Then the polytope

$$\tilde{P} = \text{conv}((P, 0), (Q, 1)) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

has all the properties mentioned in the exercise. In fact, one wants that the vertices of  $\tilde{P}$ , corresponding to the vertices of  $Q$ , are simple (and, hence, unimodular). But if this is not the case then the polytope  $R_h = \tilde{P} \cap (\mathbb{R}^n, h)$  with  $h \in (0, 1)$  is not combinatorially equivalent to  $Q$ . On the other hand,  $\mathcal{N}(R_h) = \mathcal{N}(P + Q)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(P + Q)$  is the smallest common subdivision of  $\mathcal{N}(P)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(Q)$  (i. e., the intersection of  $\mathcal{N}(P)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(Q)$ ; see p. 34). In our situation, the latter condition means  $\mathcal{N}(P + Q) = \mathcal{N}(Q)$ .

**p. 427, l. 13** show → Show

**p. 458** Add to index entry *polytope* the subentry *integrally closed*, 73