

A Remark on Koszul Complexes

Winfried Bruns Udo Vetter

*Universität Osnabrück, Fachbereich Mathematik/Informatik, D-49064 Osnabrück
e-mail: Winfried.Bruns@mathematik.uni-osnabrueck.de*

*Universität Oldenburg, Fachbereich Mathematik, D-26111 Oldenburg
e-mail: vetter@mathematik.uni-oldenburg.de*

Let R be a commutative ring and M an R -module. A linear form $\varphi: M \rightarrow R$ induces the Koszul complex

$$\mathcal{K}(\varphi): \cdots \longrightarrow \wedge^i M \xrightarrow{\partial_\varphi} \wedge^{i-1} M \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \wedge^2 M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\partial_\varphi(m_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge m_i) = \sum_j (-1)^{j-1} \varphi(m_j) m_1 \wedge \cdots \widehat{m}_j \cdots \wedge m_i$ for all $m_1, \dots, m_i \in M$. When M is a finite free R -module, then the vanishing of the homology of $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ is determined by the grade g_φ of the ideal $\text{Im } \varphi$: one has $H_j(\mathcal{K}(\varphi)) = 0$ for $j = n - g_\varphi + 1, \dots, n$ and $H_{n-g_\varphi}(\mathcal{K}(\varphi)) \neq 0$. Giving a linear form on a finite free R -module of rank n is equivalent to specifying a sequence a_1, \dots, a_n of elements of R .

If M is not a free R -module, then the picture changes drastically. In this note we investigate the simplest case of a non-free module, namely $M = F/Rx$ where x is a non-zero element of the finite free R -module F . We define the linear map $\psi: R \rightarrow F$ by the assignment $1 \mapsto x$, so that $M = F/\text{Im } \psi$. In plain terms, we now consider two sequences of elements of R , namely the sequence (x_i) of the components of x , and the sequence $(y_i) = (\varphi(\bar{e}_i))$ where \bar{e}_i is the residue class of the i th element in a basis of F . These two sequences are connected by the equation $\sum_i x_i y_i = 0$.

It is of course not surprising that one can expect completely satisfactory results only when the ideals $\sum R y_i = \text{Im } \varphi$ and $\sum R x_i = \text{Im } \psi^*$ have “almost” their maximal grade (the superscript $*$ denotes the R -dual). The grade g_{ψ^*} of $\text{Im } \psi^*$ determines the homological properties of the exterior powers of M .

This note was motivated by a paper of Boffi [1], and its main application certainly is a simple and characteristic free approach to Boffi’s results.

For simplicity we assume in the following that R is noetherian. Using the general theory of grade (for example, see Bruns and Herzog [3], Chapter 9) one can often replace R by an arbitrary commutative ring.

has exact columns (the maps in the lower left corner are the induced ones). Since $n - g_\varphi + 1 \leq i$, we have $H_{i+1}(\mathcal{K}(\varphi)) = H_i(\mathcal{K}(\varphi)) = 0$. The assertion now follows from the long exact sequence in homology. \square

Now we discuss the case in which g_φ has its maximal value n , or equivalently, $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ is acyclic.

Proposition 2. *With the notation from above, suppose that $g_{\psi^*} = n$. Then $\text{Im } \varphi \subset \text{Im } \psi^*$, and for all i with $n - g_\varphi \leq i \leq n$ one has*

$$H_i(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) = \begin{cases} R/\text{Im } \psi^* & i \equiv n \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & i \not\equiv n \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $g_{\psi^} = n = g_\varphi$, then n is even and $\text{Im } \varphi = \text{Im } \psi^*$.*

Proof. That $H_n(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) = R/\text{Im } \psi^*$ is a consequence of $\partial_{\bar{\varphi}}(\wedge^n M) \subset t(\wedge^{n-1} M) = 0$ and $\wedge^n M = R/\text{Im } \psi^*$. The last equality implies $\text{Im } \varphi \subset \text{Im } \psi^*$. Furthermore one has $g_\varphi \geq 1$ if and only if $H_{n-1}(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) = 0$: if $g_\varphi \geq 1$, then $H_n(\mathcal{K}(\varphi)) = 0$, so $\partial_{\bar{\varphi}}: \wedge^{n-1} M \rightarrow \wedge^{n-2} M$ is injective. Conversely, if $g_\varphi = 0$, then there is an $a \in R$, $a \neq 0$, with $a \text{Im } \varphi = 0$. Since $\wedge^{n-1} M$ has rank 1, one has $a \wedge^{n-1} M \neq 0$; but $\partial_{\bar{\varphi}}(a \wedge^{n-1} M) = 0$, so $H_{n-1}(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) \neq 0$. The remaining assertions follow from Proposition 1. \square

We add two

Remarks.

(1) By the Koszul complex, associated to the map $\bar{\varphi}: M \rightarrow R$, one often understands the complex

$$\mathcal{K}^*(\bar{\varphi}): 0 \rightarrow R^* \xrightarrow{d_{\bar{\varphi}}} M^* \xrightarrow{d_{\bar{\varphi}}} (\wedge^2 M)^* \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{d_{\bar{\varphi}}} (\wedge^{n-1} M)^*$$

where $d_{\bar{\varphi}}$ sends the element $\alpha \in (\wedge^i M)^*$ to $\bar{\varphi} \wedge \alpha$. (Here we use that $\bigoplus_i (\wedge^i M)^*$ is equipped with a multiplication \wedge which makes it an exterior algebra; for example, see Bruns [2], Theorem 1.4.) Choose an orientation χ on F and set

$$\mu(\wedge^{n-1} \pi(x)) = \chi(x \wedge \psi(1)),$$

for all $x \in \wedge^{n-1} F$, and

$$(\mu^i(u))(v) = \mu(u \wedge v)$$

for all $u \in \wedge^i M$, $v \in \wedge^{n-1-i} M$, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\mu^n = 0$; clearly $\mu^i: \wedge^i M \rightarrow (\wedge^{n-1-i} M)^*$ is a homomorphism, and $d_{\bar{\varphi}} \circ \mu^i = (-1)^n \mu^{i-1} \circ \partial_{\bar{\varphi}}$. If $g_{\psi^*} = n$, then μ^i is an isomorphism for $i = 0, \dots, n-2$ and μ^{n-1} is injective (see Bruns [2], Theorem 2.4). Thus, in this case, the homology of $\mathcal{K}^*(\bar{\varphi})$ is completely determined by the homology of $\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})$ (and vice versa).

(2) Suppose that x_1, \dots, x_n is a regular sequence in R , and consider the first syzygy N of the ideal in R generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . We claim that there is a $y \in N$, $y \neq 0$, such that $(N/Ry)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is free for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \sum R x_i$ if and only if n is even. To prove this set $F = R^n$ and $\varphi(e_j) = x_j$ where e_1, \dots, e_n are the elements of the canonical basis of F . For

the ‘only if’ part consider the map $\psi: R \rightarrow F = R^n$ given by $\psi(1) = y$. The condition for y means that $\text{Im } \psi^*$ has grade $n = \text{grade } \varphi$. Thus, by Proposition 2, n must be even. If n is even, then $y = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j x_{n-j} e_{j+1} \in \text{Ker } \varphi = N$ satisfies the condition in the claim. (This construction is closely related to the construction, given by Trautmann in [5], 4.2.4, of a reflexive coherent analytic sheaf on \mathbb{C}^n with rank $n - 2$ which is locally free on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ but not on \mathbb{C}^n .)

The subsequent example has been treated by Boffi in [1]. It was the impulse to our considerations.

Example. Let k be a field, and $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_p$ indeterminates over k . Set $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_m$, $\underline{y} = y_1, \dots, y_p$, $R = k[\underline{x}, \underline{y}]$, and

$$A = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}} a_{ij} x_i y_j, \quad a_{ij} \in k.$$

Put $F = R^m \oplus R^p$, and define the R -homomorphism $\psi: R \rightarrow F$ by $\psi(1) = (\underline{x}, \underline{y})$. Denote by d_1, \dots, d_m and e_1, \dots, e_p the canonical bases of R^m and R^p . The linear form φ on F is given by $\varphi(d_i) = \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_i}$, $\varphi(e_j) = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial y_j}$. Clearly $\varphi\psi = 0$. As above let $\bar{\varphi}$ be the induced linear form on the cokernel of ψ .

Observe that

$$(\varphi(d_1), \dots, \varphi(e_p)) = \psi(1) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(a_{ij}) \\ (a_{ij})^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the upper t means ‘transpose’.

From Proposition 2 we draw:

Proposition 3. *Set $r = \text{rank}(a_{ij})$. Then $H_{m+p-\lambda}(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) \neq 0$ for $\lambda = 2r, \dots, m + p$. Furthermore, for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2r$ one has*

$$H_{m+p-\lambda}(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) = \begin{cases} k & \text{for } \lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 0 & \text{for } \lambda \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $\text{grade Im } \varphi = 2r$, the second statement is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2. To prove the first one, observe that $H_\lambda(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) = 0$ implies $\text{Ker } \partial_\varphi \subset \text{Im } \partial_\varphi + \text{Im } \psi_\lambda$. Consider the systems of linear equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} a_i = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, p, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^p a_{ij} b_j = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

over k . There are linearly independent elements $a^{(1)}, \dots, a^{(m-r)}$ in k^m solving the first system and linearly independent elements $b^{(1)}, \dots, b^{(p-r)}$ in k^p solving the second one. Set $v_i = (a^{(i)}, 0) \in k^{m+p}$, $i = 1, \dots, m - r$, and $w_j = (0, b^{(j)}) \in k^{m+p}$, $j = 1, \dots, p - r$. Obviously $\varphi(v_i) = \varphi(w_j) = 0$. So if $0 \leq \rho \leq m - r$, $0 \leq \sigma \leq p - r$, and $v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_\rho}, w_{j_1}, \dots, w_{j_\sigma}$

are distinct, then $v_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_\rho} \wedge w_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge w_{j_\sigma}$ lies in $\text{Ker } \partial_\varphi$ but not in $\text{Im } \partial_\varphi + \text{Im } \psi_{\rho+\sigma}$. Consequently $H_{\rho+\sigma}(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) \neq 0$. \square

In particular Proposition 3 implies the main results of Boffi ([1], Theorem 7 and Propositions 8–10) and answers some questions asked there:

Proposition 4. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $m = p$ and the matrix (a_{ij}) is invertible.
- (2)
$$H_i(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } i \leq 2m \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
- (3) $H_1(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) = 0$.

Also in the case in which g_{ψ^*} and g_φ are “submaximal” one gets a satisfactory result on the behaviour of $\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})$.

Proposition 5. *With the notation introduced above Proposition 1 suppose that $g_{\psi^*} = g_\varphi = \dim R = n - 1$. Then all homology modules $H_i(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi}))$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, have the same (finite) length. In particular, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $H_i(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$;
- (2) $H_j(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) = 0$ for some j , $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Proof. First observe that $\bigwedge^i M$ is torsionfree for $i = 0, \dots, n - 2$. Corresponding to the diagram in the proof of Proposition 1 we obtain an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})[-1]' \xrightarrow{(\psi'_i)} \mathcal{K}(\varphi) \xrightarrow{(\bigwedge^i \pi)} \mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi}) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})[-1]'$ is the complex $\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})[-1]$ except that the map $\partial_{\bar{\varphi}}: \bigwedge^{n-1} M \rightarrow \bigwedge^{n-2} M$ is replaced by the induced map $\bigwedge^{n-1} M / t(\bigwedge^{n-1} M) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{n-2} M$; correspondingly one chooses $\psi'_i = \psi_i$ for $i < n$ and replaces ψ_n by the induced map ψ'_n . From the long exact sequence in homology we then cut the exact piece

$$0 \longrightarrow H_2(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) \longrightarrow R / \text{Im } \varphi \longrightarrow H_1(\mathcal{K}(\varphi)) \longrightarrow H_1(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\ell(H_1(\mathcal{K}(\varphi))) = \ell(H_0(\mathcal{K}(\varphi))) = \ell(R / \text{Im } \varphi) < \infty$ (ℓ means ‘length’), it follows that $\ell(H_2(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) = \ell(H_1(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})))$. The remaining assertions result from Proposition 1. \square

Example. Let k be a field of characteristic 0, P the polynomial ring over k in the indeterminates X_1, \dots, X_n , graded by $\deg X_i = m_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Choose a non-constant homogeneous polynomial $f \in P$, and set $R = P/(f)$. Let $F = R^n$ and define the R -homomorphism $\psi: R \rightarrow F$ via $\psi(1) = (\partial f / \partial X_1, \dots, \partial f / \partial X_n) \bmod f \cdot F$. Then the cokernel of ψ is the R -module $D_k(R)$ of Kähler differentials of R over k . The linear form φ on F maps the i th unit vector to $m_i x_i$ where x_i denotes the residue of X_i in R ; so $\bar{\varphi}$

is the linear form on $D_k(R)$ induced by the Euler derivation. Clearly $\varphi\psi = 0$. A direct computation yields $H_1(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\varphi})) = 0$.

Suppose now that $g_{\psi^*} = n - 1$; this means that (the affine variety with coordinate ring) R has an isolated singularity at 0. By Proposition 5, it results the well-known fact that the Koszul complex of $\bar{\varphi}$ is exact.

The same is true for $\mathcal{K}(\bar{\psi}^*)$; by $\bar{\psi}^*$ we of course mean the linear form induced by ψ^* on the cokernel of $\varphi^*: R \rightarrow F^*$. In fact, there are only two possibilities for $H_n(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\psi}^*))$, namely $H_n(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\psi}^*)) = 0$ and $H_n(\mathcal{K}(\bar{\psi}^*)) = k$; but the second case is actually impossible since it would imply that $H_1(\mathcal{K}(\varphi)) = 0$.

References

- [1] Boffi, G.: *Bilinear Forms and (Hyper-)Determinants*. Adv. in Math. **123** (1996), 91–103.
- [2] Bruns, W.: *The Buchsbaum-Eisenbud Structure Theorems and Alternating Syzygies*. Comm. Algebra **15** (1987), 873–925.
- [3] Bruns, W.; Herzog, J.: *Cohen–Macaulay rings*. Cambridge University Press 1993.
- [4] Lebelt, K.: *Torsion äußerer Potenzen von Moduln der homologischen Dimension 1*. Math. Ann. **211** (1974), 183–197.
- [5] Trautmann, G.: *Darstellung von Vektorraumbündeln über $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$* . Arch. Math. XXIV (1973), 303–313.

Received June 11, 1996