

**The Eisenbud-Evans Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem and
Determinantal Ideals**



Winfried Bruns

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 83, No. 1 (Sep., 1981), 19-24.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28198109%2983%3A1%3C19%3AATEGPIT%3E2.0.CO%3B2-L>

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

THE EISENBUD-EVANS
GENERALIZED PRINCIPAL IDEAL THEOREM
AND DETERMINANTAL IDEALS

WINFRIED BRUNS

ABSTRACT. In [2] Eisenbud and Evans gave an important generalization of Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem. However, their proof, using maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, may have limited the validity of their theorem to a proper subclass of all local rings. (Hochster proved the existence of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules for local rings which contain a field, cf. [4]). In the first section we present a proof which is simpler and guarantees the Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem for all local rings. The main result of the second section was conjectured in [2]. Under a hypothesis typically being satisfied for the most important fitting invariant of a module, it improves the Eagon-Northcott bound [1] on the height of a determinantal ideal considerably. Finally we will discuss the implications of a recent theorem of Faltings [3] on determinantal ideals.

1. The Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem. We recall some notations from [2]. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring, and M a finitely generated R -module. The *order ideal* $M^*(x)$ of an element $x \in M$ is given by

$$M^*(x) := \{f(x) : f \in M^*\},$$

where M^* denotes the dual $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ of M . Since M is finitely presented, the formation of $M^*(x)$ commutes with flat ring extensions, in particular with localizations, completions, and the adjunction of indeterminates. The *rank* of M is the maximum of $\dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, \mathfrak{p} ranging over the minimal primes of R . For all unexplained notations and terminology we refer the reader to [7].

Theorem 1 below extends Theorem 1.1 of [2] to all (local) rings R . It was named "Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem" because one recovers Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem for elements $x_1, \dots, x_m \in R$ from it by specializing M to R^m and x to $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in R^m$. (Theorem 1 was called the "Eisenbud-Evans Principal Ideal Conjecture" in [5].)

THEOREM 1. *Let R be a noetherian ring, M a finitely generated R -module, and $x \in M$. If there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R with $x \in \mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then*

$$\text{ht } M^*(x) \leq \text{rank } M.$$

PROOF. It is enough to prove $\text{ht } M_{\mathfrak{q}}^*(x) < \text{rank } M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of R (with $x \in \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}$): By the way rank M was defined, it cannot increase under localization, and $\text{ht } M^*(x) \leq \text{ht } M_{\mathfrak{q}}^*(x)$ simply because $(M^*(x))_{\mathfrak{q}} = M_{\mathfrak{q}}^*(x)$.

Received by the editors July 23, 1980 and, in revised form, November 6, 1980.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13C05.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/81/0000-0404/\$02.50

Let us first assume that there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of R such that $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a free $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module and $x \in \mathfrak{q}M_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Then $\text{ht } M_{\mathfrak{q}}^*(x) < \text{rank } M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem since $M_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$ is generated by rank $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ elements.

In the general case we may assume that R is local with maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} . We may even suppose that R is a complete local ring, height and rank being stable under completion. Finally we can factor out a minimal prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of R for which $\text{ht } M^*(x) = \text{ht}(M^*(x) + \mathfrak{q})/\mathfrak{q}$, cf. [2]. So we only need to prove the theorem for universally catenarian local domains.

There are elements $e_1, \dots, e_m \in M$ such that $x = a_1e_1 + \dots + a_me_m$ with $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}$. Let S denote the localization of $R[T_1, \dots, T_m]$ with respect to the maximal ideal generated by \mathfrak{p} and the indeterminates T_1, \dots, T_m . The ideal

$$\mathfrak{r} := S(a_1 + T_1) + \dots + S(a_m + T_m)$$

is a prime ideal of S with $\mathfrak{r} \cap R = \{0\}$. Thus

$$(M \otimes S)_{\mathfrak{r}} = M_{(0)} \otimes S_{\mathfrak{r}}$$

is a free $S_{\mathfrak{r}}$ -module ($M_{(0)}$ denotes the localization of M with respect to the zero-ideal of R). The element

$$y := (a_1 + T_1)e_1 + \dots + (a_m + T_m)e_m$$

is contained in $\mathfrak{r}(M \otimes S)$. By what has been shown above, $\text{ht}(M \otimes S)^*(y) < \text{rank } M \otimes S = \text{rank } M$.

S is a catenarian ring. Consequently, there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of S containing $(M \otimes S)^*(y)$ as well as T_1, \dots, T_m , such that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{q} < \text{rank } M + m$. Then \mathfrak{q} must also contain a minimal prime ideal $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}$ of $(M \otimes S)^*(x) = M^*(x)S$. All minimal prime ideals of $M^*(x)S$ are extended from prime ideals of R . Therefore

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{q}} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} + ST_1 \subset \dots \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} + ST_1 + \dots + ST_m$$

is a strictly ascending chain of prime ideals, whence

$$\text{ht } M^*(x) = \text{ht } M^*(x)S < \text{ht } \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} < \text{rank } M.$$

The depth (or grade) of an ideal \mathfrak{a} with respect to an (arbitrary) R -module N , i.e., the length of a maximal N -sequence contained in \mathfrak{a} , is bounded above by $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a}$. Therefore Theorem 1 implies the corresponding inequality for depth. Being immediate consequences of Theorem 2.1 of [2], Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3 of [2] become valid for all local rings.

2. Determinantal ideals. As above, let R be a commutative noetherian ring. The ideal generated by the determinants of the $t \times t$ submatrices of an $m \times n$ matrix φ over R is denoted by $I_t(\varphi)$ (with the usual conventions, $I_t(\varphi) = R$ for $t < 0$ and $I_t(\varphi) = 0$ for $t > \min(m, n)$). We define the k th fitting invariant $F_k(M)$ of a finitely generated R -module M [6] to be the ideal $I_{n-k}(\varphi)$ where φ represents a homomorphism $R^m \rightarrow R^n$ such that $M = \text{Coker } \varphi$. The fitting invariants determine the level sets of the (locally constant) function assigning to each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} the minimal number of generators of the $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$: $\mathfrak{p} \supset F_k(M)$ whenever $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ cannot be spanned by fewer than $k + 1$ elements.

Let us say that M has *f-rank* r if $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of constant rank r for all associated primes \mathfrak{p} of R . The *f-rank* of M is denoted by $\text{frk } M$. (This is the definition of rank proposed in [8].) In general, not every module has an *f-rank*. However, when R is an integral domain or M has a finite free resolution, then $\text{frk } M$ is defined, and, in the latter case, given by the Euler characteristic of a finite free resolution. The reader will check that $\text{frk } M = r$ if and only if $F_r(M)$ contains a nonzero divisor and $F_{r-1}(M) = 0$. Furthermore, in case $\text{frk } M = r$, a localization $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \supset F_r(M)$. This property renders $F_r(M)$ the most important of all fitting invariants and explains a great deal of our interest in a bound on $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi)$ under the condition $I_{t+1}(\varphi) = 0$.

The classical bound on the height of determinantal ideals was given by Eagon and Northcott in [1, Theorem 3]:

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) \leq \text{EN}(m, n, t) := (m - t + 1)(n - t + 1)$$

for $t = 1, \dots, \min(m, n)$, regardless of any hypothesis on φ (except, of course, $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$). The “generic” case, in which φ is a matrix of indeterminates over the integers, demonstrates that the Eagon-Northcott bound is optimal in general. One then has $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) = \text{EN}(m, n, t)$ and, hence, $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi)/I_{t+1}(\varphi) = m + n - 2t + 1$. The last equation presumably led Eisenbud and Evans to conjecture the following theorem [2, Conjecture 2.6]:

THEOREM 2. *Let R be a commutative noetherian ring, and φ an $m \times n$ matrix over R . If $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$ and $I_{t+1}(\varphi) = 0$, then*

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) \leq m + n - 2t + 1.$$

PROOF. We use induction on t , and may restrict ourselves to complete local integral domains R and ideals $I_t(\varphi)$ primary to the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R . Let

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m1} & \cdots & x_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If there is an $x_{ij} \notin \mathfrak{m}$, then one reduces the assertion to the case $t - 1$ by applying elementary row and column operations to φ . So we may assume that all $x_{ij} \in \mathfrak{m}$, and, by induction on n , that there is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$ containing $I_t(\varphi')$, where

$$\varphi' = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1n-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m1} & \cdots & x_{mn-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We claim $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi') \leq n - t$. Consider φ as a map $R^m \rightarrow R^n$ and, correspondingly, φ' as a map $R^m \rightarrow R^{n-1}$. Let $M := \text{Coker } \varphi$ and $M' := \text{Coker } \varphi'$. M' is isomorphic to $M/R\bar{e}_n$, e_1, \dots, e_n denoting the elements of the canonical basis of R^n . Since $I_t(\varphi) \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$, $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ needs exactly $n - t$ generators. So does $M'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ because $I_t(\varphi') \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Necessarily $\bar{e}_n \in \mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $\text{ht } M^*(\bar{e}_n) \leq \text{rank } M = n - t$ by Theorem 1. Regarding

the determinantal relations of the columns of φ as elements of R^n which vanish on $\text{Im } \varphi$ (the submodule of R^n generated by the rows of φ) we conclude $I_t(\varphi) \subset M^*(\bar{e}_n)$, and obtain the claim.

In complete local domains the equation $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a} + \dim R/\mathfrak{a} = \dim R$ holds for all ideals \mathfrak{a} . Consequently Theorem 2 is settled once we have shown that $\dim R/I_t(\varphi) = \text{ht } I_t(\varphi)/I_t(\varphi) \leq m - t + 1$.

LEMMA. *Let R be a local ring, and φ an $m \times n$ matrix over R , whose last column consists of elements in the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R . Let φ' be the matrix formed by the first $n - 1$ columns of φ . If $I_t(\varphi') = 0$, then*

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) \leq m - t + 1.$$

The lemma just extends Theorem 2.1 of [2] to all local rings. The following hint will enable the reader to prove it. Consider the transpose of φ and adjoin a column to it:

$$\tilde{\varphi} := \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{m1} & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ x_{1n-1} & \cdots & x_{mn-1} & 0 \\ x_{1n} & \cdots & x_{mn} & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now $\tilde{\varphi}$ and φ are related in the same way as φ and φ' in the proof of Theorem 2, and $\bar{e}_{m+1} = x_{1n}\bar{e}_1 + \cdots + x_{mn}\bar{e}_m \in \mathfrak{m}M$, the notations corresponding to those above.

COROLLARY 1. *Let R be as in Theorem 2, φ an $m \times n$ matrix over R , and ψ a $u \times v$ submatrix of φ such that all coefficients of φ outside ψ generate a proper ideal of R . If $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$, then*

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi)/I_{t+k}(\psi) \leq \text{EN}(m, n, t) - \text{EN}(u, v, t + k)$$

for all $k = 0, \dots, \min(u, v) - t + 1$.

PROOF. After the by now usual reduction to the case of a complete local domain, one applies Theorem 2 inductively to obtain the assertion in the case $\varphi = \psi$. Then one uses the lemma to complete the proof by induction on $(m + n) - (u + v)$.

Corollary 1, essentially predicted in [2], generalizes the Eagon-Northcott bound, to which it specializes for $\varphi = \psi$, $t + k = \min(m, n) + 1$. It does not say (in general): $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) \geq \text{EN}(m, n, t)$ implies $\text{ht } I_{t+k}(\psi) \geq \text{EN}(u, v, t + k)$. The corresponding statement for $\dim R - \dim R/I_t(\varphi)$ and $\dim R - \dim R/I_{t+k}(\psi)$, however, is always true (cf. [2, proof of Corollary 2.4]). Again the reader should observe that the inequalities for height imply the corresponding inequalities for depth.

We now return to the interpretation of determinantal ideals as fitting invariants. For a closed subset A of $\text{Spec } R$ we put

$$\text{codim } A := \min\{\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in A\}.$$

For every finitely generated R -module M

$$\text{Nf } M := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is not a free } R_{\mathfrak{p}}\text{-module}\}$$

is a closed subset of $\text{Spec } R$ and consists of the prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \supset F_r(M)$ in case $\text{frk } M = r$, as was noted above.

COROLLARY 2. *Let R be as in Theorem 2, and M a finitely generated R -module with an f -rank. Let N be a second syzygy of M . If M is not free, then*

$$\text{codim Nf } M < \text{frk } M + \text{frk } N + 1.$$

PROOF. Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R^m \xrightarrow{\varphi} R^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

and put $t := n - \text{frk } M$. Then $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$, $I_{t+1}(\varphi) = 0$, $\text{frk } N = m - t$, and the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.

It would be extremely interesting to construct modules over regular local rings for which the bound in Corollary 2 is attained. It is easy to write down examples with $\text{rank } N = 0$ (equivalently, $\text{proj dim } M = 1$), and rather nontrivial ones with $\text{rank } N = 1$ can be found in [9], but we know of no such modules with $\text{rank } M > 1$ and $\text{rank } N > 1$.

In our last corollary $\mu(N)$ shall denote the minimal number of generators of an R -module N .

COROLLARY 3. *Let R be as in Theorem 2, M a torsion-free R -module with an f -rank. Then*

$$\text{codim Nf } M < \mu(M) + \mu(M^*) - 2(\text{frk } M) + 1.$$

PROOF. Let $m := \mu(M)$, $n := \mu(M^*)$, and choose generators x_1, \dots, x_m of M and f_1, \dots, f_n of M^* . Let φ be the $m \times n$ matrix $(f_j(x_i))$. Then $\text{Im } \varphi = M/U$, where U is the kernel of the natural homomorphism $M \rightarrow M^{**}$. Since M has an f -rank, U is a torsion module and thus $U = 0$. It is easy to check that $\text{Nf } M = \text{Nf Coker } \varphi$, $\text{frk Coker } \varphi = \mu(M^*) - \text{frk } M$, and $\text{frk ker } \varphi = \mu(M) - \text{frk } M$. Now the conclusion follows at once from Corollary 2.

Theorem 3, which is a consequence of a theorem of Faltings [3], gives a better bound on $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi)$, provided R is regular and t is small compared to m or n .

THEOREM 3. *Let R be a regular local ring, and φ an $m \times n$ matrix over R . If $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$ and $I_{t+1}(\varphi) = 0$, then*

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) \leq \max(n, m - t + 1).$$

PROOF. Localizing with respect to a minimal prime ideal of $I_t(\varphi)$, we may suppose $I_t(\varphi)$ primary to the maximal ideal of R . Regard φ as a map of $R^m \rightarrow R^n$, and put $M := \text{Coker } \varphi$. If $\dim R > n$, then by Satz 1 of [3], $n - t$ among the residues $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n$ of the canonical basis of R^n , say, $\bar{e}_{t+1}, \dots, \bar{e}_n$, generate a free direct summand of rank $n - t$ in every localization $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, \mathfrak{p} nonmaximal. Therefore $M' := M/R\bar{e}_{t+1} + \dots + R\bar{e}_n$ has finite length. Now M' is isomorphic to $\text{Coker } \varphi'$, φ' consisting of the first t columns of φ . $I_t(\varphi')$ is again primary to the maximal ideal of R , hence $\dim R \leq m - t + 1$ by Theorem 2 (for the classical case of maximal minors).

Faltings gives his theorem in a more general setting. For complete local domains the inequality of Theorem 3 becomes

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) \leq \max(m + \text{embdim } R - \dim R, n - t + 1),$$

$\text{embdim } R$ denoting the embedding dimension of R , i.e., the minimal number of generators of the maximal ideal of R . For the most general case cf. [3].

REFERENCES

1. J. A. Eagon and D. G. Northcott, *Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **269** (1962), 188–204.
2. D. Eisenbud and E. G. Evans, Jr., *A generalized principal ideal theorem*, Nagoya Math. J. **62** (1976), 41–53.
3. G. Faltings, *Ein Kriterium für vollständige Durchschnitte*, Invent. Math. **62** (1981), 383–402.
4. M. Hochster, *Deep local rings*, preprint, Aarhus, 1973.
5. ———, *Principal ideal theorems*, Ring Theory (Waterloo, 1978), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 734, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1979.
6. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, rev. ed., The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 1974.
7. H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra*, Benjamin, New York, 1970.
8. G. Scheja and U. Storch, *Differentielle Eigenschaften der Lokalisierungen analytischer Algebren*, Math. Ann. **197** (1972), 137–170.
9. U. Vetter, *Zu einem Satz von G. Trautmann über den Rang gewisser kohärenter analytischer Moduln*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **24** (1973), 158–161.

FACHBEREICH NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN/MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT OSNABRÜCK, ABTEILUNG VECHTA, VECHTA, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY