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## 1 Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Over General Base Rings

Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity was introduced in the early eighties of the twentieth century by Eisenbud and Goto in [12] and by Ooishi [18] as an algebraic counterpart of the notion of regularity for coherent sheaves on projective spaces discussed by Mumford in [19].

One of the most important features of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity is that it can be equivalently defined in terms of (and hence it bounds) the vanishing of local cohomology modules, the vanishing of Koszul homology modules and the vanishing of syzygies.

This triple nature of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity is usually stated for graded rings over base fields, but indeed it holds in general as we will show in this section.

Let $R=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_{i}$ be a $\mathbb{N}$-graded ring with $R_{0}$ commutative and Noetherian. We assume that $R$ is standard graded, i.e., it is generated as an $R_{0}$-algebra by finitely many elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of degree 1 . Let $S=R_{0}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ with $\mathbb{N}$-graded structure induced by the assignment $\operatorname{deg} X_{i}=1$. The $R_{0}$-algebra map $S \rightarrow R$ sending $X_{i}$ to $x_{i}$ induces an $S$-module structure on $R$ and hence on every $R$-module.
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Let $M=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{i}$ be a finitely generated graded $R$-module. Given $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ we will denote by $M(a)$ the module that it is obtained from $M$ by shifting the degrees by $a$, i.e. $M(a)_{i}=M_{i+a}$.

The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $M$ is defined in terms of local cohomology modules $H_{Q_{R}}^{i}(M)$ with support on

$$
Q_{R}=R_{+}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

For general properties of local cohomology modules we refer the readers to [2, 6, 13]. In our setting the module $H_{Q_{R}}^{i}(M)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded and its homogeneous component $H_{Q_{R}}^{i}(M)_{j}$ of degree $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ vanishes for large $j$. The CastelnuovoMumford regularity of $M$ or, simply, the regularity of $M$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{reg}(M)=\max \left\{i+j: H_{Q_{R}}^{i}(M)_{j} \neq 0\right\}
$$

We may as well consider $M$ as an $S$-module by means of the map $S \rightarrow R$ and local cohomology supported on

$$
Q_{S}=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) .
$$

Since $H_{Q_{S}}^{i}(M)=H_{Q_{R}}^{i}(M)$ the resulting regularity is the same.
Here we list some simple properties of regularity that we will freely use.
(1) $\operatorname{reg}(M(-a))=\operatorname{reg}(M)+a$.
(2) $\operatorname{reg}(S)=0$ because $H_{Q_{S}}^{n}(S)=\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{n}\right)^{-1} R_{0}\left[X_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, X_{n}^{-1}\right]$ and $H_{Q_{S}}^{i}=0$ for all $i \neq n$.
(3) If $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of finitely generated graded $R$-modules with maps of degree 0 then :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{reg}(N) & \leq \max \{\operatorname{reg}(M), \operatorname{reg}(L)+1\}, \\
\operatorname{reg}(M) & \leq \max \{\operatorname{reg}(L), \operatorname{reg}(N)\}, \\
\operatorname{reg}(L) & \leq \max \{\operatorname{reg}(M), \operatorname{reg}(N)-1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A minimal set of generators of $M$ is, by definition, a set of generators that is minimal with respect to inclusion. The number of elements in a minimal set of generators is not uniquely determined, but the set of the degrees of the elements in a minimal set of homogeneous generators of $M$ is uniquely determined because it coincides with the set of $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left[M / Q_{R} M\right]_{i} \neq 0$. So we have a well defined notion of largest degree of a minimal generator of $M$ that we denote by $t_{0}(M)$, that is,

$$
t_{0}(M)=\max \left\{i \in \mathbb{Z}:\left[M / Q_{R} M\right]_{i} \neq 0\right\}
$$

if $M \neq 0$. We use $t_{0}$ because $M / Q_{R} M \simeq \operatorname{Tor}_{0}^{R}\left(M, R_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Tor}_{0}^{S}\left(M, R_{0}\right)$.

The following result establishes the crucial link between the regularity and the degree of generators of a module. It appears in [18, Thm.2], where it is attributed to Mumford, and it appears also in [2, Thm.16.3.1].

Lemma $1.1 t_{0}(M) \leq \operatorname{reg}(M)$.
Proof Let $v=t_{0}(M)$. Then the $R_{0}$-module $\left[M / Q_{S} M\right]_{v}$ is non-zero. Therefore there is a prime ideal $P$ of $R_{0}$ such that $\left[M / Q_{S} M\right]_{v}$ localized at $P$ is non-zero. In other words, the localization $M^{\prime}$ of $M$ at the multiplicative set $R_{0} \backslash P$ is a graded module over $\left(R_{0}\right)_{P}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ with $t_{0}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=t_{0}(M)$. Since reg $\left(M^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $\operatorname{reg}(M)$ we may assume right away that $R_{0}$ is local with maximal ideal, say, $\mathfrak{m}$. Similarly we may also assume that the residue field of $R_{0}$ is infinite. If $M=H_{Q_{S}}^{0}(M)$, the assertion is obvious. If $M \neq H_{Q_{S}}^{0}(M)$ then set $M^{\prime}=$ $M / H_{Q_{S}}^{0}(M)$. Clearly $t_{0}\left(H_{Q_{S}}^{0}(M)\right) \leq \operatorname{reg}(M)$ and $\operatorname{reg}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{reg}(M)$. Since $t_{0}(M) \leq \max \left\{t_{0}\left(M^{\prime}\right), t_{0}\left(H_{Q_{S}}^{0}(M)\right)\right\}$ it is enough to prove the statement for $M^{\prime}$. That is to say, we may assume that $\operatorname{grade}\left(Q_{S}, M\right)>0$. Because the residue field of $R_{0}$ is infinite, there exists $L \in S_{1} \backslash \mathfrak{m} S_{1}$ such that $L$ is a non-zero-divisor on $M$. By a change of coordinates we may assume that $L=X_{n}$. The short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow M(-1) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \bar{M}=M /\left(X_{n}\right) M \rightarrow 0
$$

implies that $\operatorname{reg}(\bar{M}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(M)$ (it is actually equal but we do not need it). As $\bar{M}$ is a finitely generated graded module over $R_{0}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right]$, we may assume, by induction on the number of variables, that it is generated in degree $\leq \operatorname{reg}(M)$. But then it follows easily that also $M$ is generated in degree $\leq \operatorname{reg}(M)$.

Next we consider the (graded) Koszul homology $H\left(Q_{R}, M\right)=H\left(Q_{S}, M\right)$ and set:

$$
\operatorname{reg}_{1}(M)=\max \left\{j-i: H_{i}\left(Q_{R}, M\right)_{j} \neq 0\right\}
$$

In this case, since $H_{0}\left(Q_{R}, M\right) \cong M / Q_{R} M$, the assertion

$$
t_{0}(M) \leq \operatorname{reg}_{1}(M)
$$

is obvious. Now, let

$$
\mathbb{F}: \cdots \rightarrow F_{c} \rightarrow F_{c-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{0} \rightarrow 0
$$

be a graded $S$-free resolution of $M$, i.e., each $F_{i}$ is a graded and $S$-free of finite rank, the maps have degree 0 and $H_{i}(F)=0$ for all $i$ with the exception of $H_{0}(\mathbb{F}) \simeq M$. We say that $\mathbb{F}$ is minimal if a basis of $F_{0}$ maps to a minimal set of homogeneous generators of $M$, a basis of $F_{1}$ maps to a minimal set of homogeneous generators of the kernel of $F_{0} \rightarrow M$ and for $i \geq 2$ a basis of $F_{i}$ maps to a minimal set of homogeneous generators of the kernel of $F_{i-1} \rightarrow F_{i-2}$.

If $R_{0}$ is a field then a (finite) minimal $S$-free resolution always exists and it is unique up to an isomorphism of complexes. For general $R_{0}$, it is still true that every module has a minimal free graded resolution but it is, in general, not finite and furthermore it is not unique up to an isomorphism of complexes.

Given a minimal graded $S$-free resolution $\mathbb{F}$ of $M$ we set:

$$
\operatorname{reg}_{2}(\mathbb{F})=\max \left\{t_{0}\left(F_{i}\right)-i: i=0, \ldots, n-\operatorname{grade}\left(Q_{S}, M\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{reg}_{3}(\mathbb{F})=\max \left\{t_{0}\left(F_{i}\right)-i: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

Obviously we have $t_{0}(M) \leq \operatorname{reg}_{2}(\mathbb{F}) \leq \operatorname{reg}_{3}(\mathbb{F})$. We are ready to establish the following fundamental result:

Theorem 1.2 With the notation above and for every minimal S-free resolution $\mathbb{F}$ of $M$, we have:

$$
\operatorname{reg}(M)=\operatorname{reg}_{1}(M)=\operatorname{reg}_{2}(\mathbb{F})=\operatorname{reg}_{3}(\mathbb{F})
$$

Proof Set $Q=Q_{S}$ and $g=\operatorname{grade}(Q, M)=\min \left\{i: H_{Q}^{i}(M) \neq 0\right\}$.
We first prove that $\operatorname{reg}(M) \leq \operatorname{reg}_{1}(M)$. We prove the statement by decreasing induction on $g$. Suppose $g=n$. The induced map $H_{Q}^{n}\left(F_{0}\right) \rightarrow H_{Q}^{n}(M)$ is surjective. Hence we have

$$
\operatorname{reg}(M) \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(F_{0}\right)=t_{0}\left(F_{0}\right)=t_{0}(M)=\max \left\{j: H_{0}(Q, M)_{j} \neq 0\right\}=\operatorname{reg}_{1}(M)
$$

Now assume that $g<n$ and consider

$$
0 \rightarrow M_{1} \rightarrow F_{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0
$$

We have $\operatorname{grade}\left(Q, M_{1}\right)=g+1$ and

$$
\operatorname{reg}(M) \leq \max \left\{\operatorname{reg}\left(F_{0}\right), \operatorname{reg}\left(M_{1}\right)-1\right\}
$$

By induction $\operatorname{reg}\left(M_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{reg}_{1}\left(M_{1}\right)$. Since $H_{i}\left(Q, M_{1}\right)=H_{i+1}(Q, M)$ for $i>0$ and

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{1}(Q, M) \rightarrow H_{0}\left(Q, M_{1}\right) \rightarrow H_{0}\left(Q, F_{0}\right) \rightarrow H_{0}(Q, M) \rightarrow 0
$$

is an exact sequence, we have

$$
\operatorname{reg}_{1}\left(M_{1}\right)=\max \left\{j-i: H_{i}\left(Q, M_{1}\right)_{j} \neq 0\right\}=\max \{a, b\}
$$

with $a=\max \left\{j: H_{0}\left(Q, M_{1}\right)_{j} \neq 0\right\}$ and $b=\max \left\{j-i: H_{i+1}(Q, M)_{j} \neq\right.$ 0 and $i>0\}$. So $b \leq \operatorname{reg}_{1}(M)+1$ and, since $a \leq \max \left\{t_{0}\left(F_{0}\right), \max \{j\right.$ : $\left.\left.H_{1}(Q, M)_{j} \neq 0\right\}\right\}$, we have that $a \leq \operatorname{reg}_{1}(M)+1$ as well. Hence

$$
\operatorname{reg}_{1}\left(M_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{reg}_{1}(M)+1
$$

and it follows that reg $(M) \leq \operatorname{reg}_{1}(M)$.
Secondly we prove that $\operatorname{reg}_{1}(M) \leq \operatorname{reg}_{2}(\mathbb{F})$. Since

$$
H_{i}(Q, M)=\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S}\left(M, R_{0}\right)=H_{i}\left(\mathbb{F} \otimes R_{0}\right)
$$

we have that $H_{i}(Q, M)$ is a subquotient of $F_{i} \otimes R_{0}$ and hence

$$
\max \left\{j: H_{i}(Q, M)_{j} \neq 0\right\} \leq t_{0}\left(F_{i}\right)
$$

Furthermore, $H_{i}(Q, M)=0$ if $i>n-g$. Therefore $\operatorname{reg}_{1}(M) \leq \operatorname{reg}_{2}(\mathbb{F})$.
That $\operatorname{reg}_{2}(\mathbb{F}) \leq \operatorname{reg}_{3}(\mathbb{F})$ is obvious by definition, so it remains to prove that $\operatorname{reg}_{3}(\mathbb{F}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(M)$. Set $M_{0}=M$ and consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow M_{i+1} \rightarrow F_{i} \rightarrow M_{i} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By the minimality of $\mathbb{F}$ we have $t_{0}\left(F_{i}\right)=t_{0}\left(M_{i}\right) \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(M_{i}\right)$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(M_{i+1}\right) \leq \max \left\{t_{0}\left(F_{i}\right), \operatorname{reg}\left(M_{i}\right)+1\right\}=\operatorname{reg}\left(M_{i}\right)+1
$$

for all $i \geq 0$. It follows that

$$
t_{0}\left(F_{i}\right)=t_{0}\left(M_{i}\right) \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(M_{i}\right) \leq \operatorname{reg}(M)+i
$$

for every $i$, that is,

$$
t_{0}\left(F_{i}\right)-i \leq \operatorname{reg}(M)
$$

in other words,

$$
\operatorname{reg}_{3}(\mathbb{F}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(M)
$$

Remark 1.3 Let $T \rightarrow R_{0}$ be any surjective homomorpism of unitary rings. It extends uniquely to $S^{\prime}=T\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right] \rightarrow S=R_{0}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$. Therefore a finitely generated graded $R$-module $M$ can be regarded as a finitely generated graded $S^{\prime}$-module. Hence the regularity of $M$ can be computed also using a graded minimal free resolution as $S^{\prime}$-module.

## 2 Bigraded Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity

Assume now $R=\bigoplus_{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} R_{(i, j)}$ is $\mathbb{N}^{2}$-graded with $R_{(0,0)}$ commutative and Noetherian and that $R$ is generated as an $R_{(0,0)}$-algebra by elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ with the $x_{i}$ homogeneous of degree $(1,0)$ and the $y_{j}$ homogeneous of degree $(0,1)$

We will denote by $R^{(*, 0)}$ the subalgebra $\bigoplus_{i} R_{(i, 0)}$ of $R$ and by $Q_{(1,0)}$ the ideal of $R^{(*, 0)}$ generated by $R_{(1,0)}$ i.e., by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Similarly $R^{(0, *)}$ is the subalgebra $\bigoplus_{j} R_{(0, j)}$ of $R$ and $Q_{(0,1)}$ the ideal of $R^{(0, *)}$ generated by $R_{(0,1)}$ i.e., by $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$. We have (at least) three ways of getting an $\mathbb{N}$-graded structure out of the $\mathbb{N}^{2}$-graded structure:
(1) (1,0)-graded structure: the homogeneous component of degree $i \in \mathbb{N}$ is given by $R^{(i, *)}=\bigoplus_{j} R_{(i, j)}$. The degree 0 part is $R^{(0, *)}$ and the ideal of the homogeneous elements of positive degree is $Q_{(1,0)} R=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.
(2) $(0,1)$-graded structure: the homogeneous component of degree $j \in \mathbb{N}$ is given by $R^{(*, j)}=\bigoplus_{i} R_{(i, j)}$. The degree 0 part is $R^{(*, 0)}$ and the ideal of the homogeneous elements of positive degree is $Q_{(0,1)} R=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$.
(3) total degree: the homogeneous component of degree $u \in \mathbb{N}$ is $\bigoplus_{i+j=u} R_{(i, j)}$. The degree 0 part is $R_{(0,0)}$ and the ideal of the homogeneous elements of positive degree is $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$.
In the same way, any $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $R$-module $M=\bigoplus M_{(i, j)}$ can be turned into a $\mathbb{Z}$ graded module by regrading it with respect to the $(1,0)$-grading or with respect to the $(0,1)$-grading or with respect to the total degree.

We may hence consider the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $M$ with respect to any of these different graded structures. To distinguish them we will denote by $\operatorname{reg}_{(1,0)} M$ the regularity of $M$ with respect to the $(1,0)$-graded structure and by $\operatorname{reg}_{(0,1)} M$ the regularity of $M$ with respect to the $(0,1)$-graded structure.

Given $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we set $M^{(i, *)}=\bigoplus_{v} M_{(i, v)}$ and $M^{(*, j)}=\bigoplus_{v} M_{(v, j)}$. Clearly $M=\bigoplus_{i} M^{(i, *)}$ as a $R^{(0, *)}$-graded module and $M=\bigoplus_{j} M^{(*, j)}$ as an $R^{(*, 0)}$ graded module. Also, it is simple to check that, if $M$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded module, then $M^{(i, *)}$ is a finitely generated $R^{(0, *)}$-graded module for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $M^{(*, j)}$ is a finitely generated $R^{(*, 0)}$-graded module for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $S=R_{(0,0)}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right]$ with the $\mathbb{N}^{2}$-graded structure induced by the assignment $\operatorname{deg} X_{i}=(1,0)$ and $\operatorname{deg} Y_{j}=(0,1)$. We have:
Proposition 2.1 Let $M$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $R$-module. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a bigraded $S$-free minimal resolution of $M$. Let $v_{i}$ be the largest integer $v$ such that $F_{i}$ has a minimal generator in degree $(v, *)$ and $w_{i}$ be the largest integer $w$ such that $F_{i}$ has a minimal generator in degree $(*, w)$ Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{\operatorname{reg} M^{(*, j)}: j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=\operatorname{reg}_{(1,0)} M=\max \left\{v_{i}-i: i=0, \ldots, n\right\}, \\
& \max \left\{\operatorname{reg} M^{(i, *)}: i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=\operatorname{reg}_{(0,1)} M=\max \left\{w_{i}-i: i=0, \ldots, m\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{reg} M^{(*, j)}$ is the regularity as an $R^{(*, 0)}$-graded module and $\operatorname{reg} M^{(i, *)}$ is the regularity as an $R^{(0, *)}$-graded module.

Proof Set $Q=Q_{(1,0)}$, i.e. $Q$ is the ideal of $R^{(*, 0)}$ generated by $R_{(1,0)}$. The ( 1,0 )regularity of $M$ is defined by means of the local cohomology $H_{Q R}^{*}(M)$. We may regard $M$ as an $R^{(*, 0)}$-module, so that $H_{Q R}^{c}(M)=H_{Q}^{c}(M)=\bigoplus_{j} H_{Q}^{c}\left(M^{(*, j)}\right)$ for all $c$. This explains the first equality. For the second equality, by Theorem 1.2 reg $_{(1,0)} M$ can be computed from any graded minimal free resolution of $M$ as an $R^{(0,1)}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$-module but we have observed in Remark 1.3 that it can be as well computed from any minimal free resolution of $M$ as an $S$-module. So a minimal bigraded resolution of $M$ as $S$-modules serves to compute both the ( 1,0 ) and the $(0,1)$ regularity.

## 3 A Non-standard $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-Grading

For later applications we will consider in this section a polynomial ring

$$
A=A_{0}\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{g}\right]
$$

over a ring $A_{0}$ with a (non-standard) $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded structure given by

$$
\operatorname{deg} Y_{j}=\left(d_{j}, 1\right)
$$

where $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{g} \in \mathbb{N}$.
For every $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $A$-module $N=\bigoplus N_{(i, v)}$ and for every $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ we set

$$
\rho_{N}(v)=\sup \left\{i \in \mathbb{Z}: N_{(i, v)} \neq 0\right\} \in \mathbb{Z} \cup\{ \pm \infty\}
$$

We will study the behaviour of $\rho_{N}(v)$ as a function of $v$. We start with two general facts.

Lemma 3.1 Given an chain of submodules $0=N_{0} \subset N_{1} \subset N_{2} \subset \cdots \subset N_{p}=N$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded A modules one has $\rho_{N}(v)=\max \left\{\rho_{N_{i} / N_{i-1}}(v): i=1, \ldots, p\right\}$ for all $v$.

Proof The function $\rho_{N}(v)$ behaves well on short exact sequences with maps of degree 0 . Then the statement follows by induction on $p$ using the short exact sequences associated to the chain of submodules.

Let $F$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded free $A$-module with basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}$ and let $<$ be a monomial order on $F$. For every $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $A$-submodule $U$ of $F$ we denote by $\mathrm{in}_{<}(U)$ the $A_{0}$-submodule of $F$ generated by leading monomials (with coefficients!) of the non-zero elements in $U$. Since $U$ is an $A$-submodule of $F$, it turns out that $\mathrm{in}_{<}(U)$ is an $A$-submodule of $F$ as well. Furthermore for every
monomial $a Y^{\alpha} e_{i}$ in $\mathrm{in}_{<}(U)$ there exists an element $u \in U$ such that $\mathrm{in}_{<}(u)=$ $a Y^{\alpha} e_{i}$. One has:

Lemma 3.2 $\rho_{F / U}(v)=\rho_{F / \mathrm{in}_{<}(U)}(v)$ for all $v$.
Proof It is enough to prove that, given $(i, v)$, one has $U_{(i, v)}=F_{(i, v)}$ if and only if $\mathrm{in}_{<}\left(U_{(i, v)}\right)=F_{(i, v)}$ The "only if" implication is obvious. For the "if" implication, we argue by contradiction. Suppose $\mathrm{in}_{<}\left(U_{(i, v)}\right)=F_{(i, v)}$ and $U_{(i, v)} \neq F_{(i, v)}$. Let $Y^{\alpha} e_{i}$ be the smallest (with respect to the monomial order) monomial of degree $(i, v)$ which is not in $U_{(i, v)}$. Since $Y^{\alpha} e_{i} \in \operatorname{in}_{<}\left(U_{(i, v)}\right)$ there exists $u \in U$ such that $\mathrm{in}_{<}(u)=Y^{\alpha} e_{i}$. We may assume that $u$ is homogeneous of degree $(i, v)$. If not, we simply replace $u$ with the homogeneous component of $u$ of degree $(i, v)$ which is in $U$ since $U$ is graded. So we have $u=Y^{\alpha} e_{i}+u_{1}$ where $u_{1}$ is a $A_{0}$-linear combination of monomials of degree $(i, v)$ that are $<Y^{\alpha} e_{i}$. Hence, by assumption, $u_{1} \in U_{(i, v)}$. It follows that $Y^{\alpha} e_{i}=u-u_{1} \in U_{(i, v)}$, a contradiction.

The fact that $A$ has no elements of degree $(i, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ with $i \neq 0$ has an important consequence.
Lemma 3.3 Let $N$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded and finitely generated $A$-module. Then $\rho_{N}(v)$ is eventually either a linear function of $v$ with leading coefficient in $\left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{g}\right\}$ or $-\infty$.
Proof First we observe that if $n$ is a generator of $N$ of degree, say, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, then $Y_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots Y_{g}^{\alpha_{g}} n$ has degree $\left(\sum_{j} \alpha_{j} d_{j}+\alpha, \sum_{j} \alpha_{j}+\beta\right)$. Hence $N_{(i, v)}$ is non-zero only if $(i, v)=\left(\sum_{j} \alpha_{j} d_{j}+\alpha, \sum_{j} \alpha_{j}+\beta\right)$ for some $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{g}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{g}$ and some $(\alpha, \beta)$ degree of a minimal generator of $N$. If we set $D=\max \left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{g}\right\}$, then $N_{(i, v)} \neq 0$ implies $\alpha \leq i \leq(v-\beta) D+\alpha$ for some degree $(\alpha, \beta)$ of a minimal generator of $N$. As the module $N$ is finitely generated, it follows that $\left\{i \in \mathbb{Z}: N_{(i, v)} \neq 0\right\}$ is finite for every $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. To prove that $\rho_{N}(v)$ is either eventually linear in $v$ or $-\infty$, we present $N$ as $F / U$ where $F$ is a finitely generated $A$-free bigraded module and $U$ is a bigraded $A$-submodule of $F$. Let $<$ be a monomial order on $F$. Then $\rho_{F / U}(v)=\rho_{F / \mathrm{in}_{<}(U)}(v)$. Hence we may assume right away that $U$ is generated by monomials (with coefficients). We can consider a bigraded chain of submodules

$$
0=N_{0} \subset N_{1} \subset N_{2} \subset \cdots \subset N_{p}=N
$$

with cyclic quotients $C_{i}=N_{i} / N_{i-1}$ annihilated by a monomial prime ideal, i.e., an ideal of the form $p A+J$ where $p$ is a prime ideal of $A_{0}$ and $J$ is an ideal generated by a subset of the variables $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{g}$. It follows that

$$
\rho_{N}(v)=\max \left\{\rho_{C_{i}}(v): i=1, \ldots, p\right\} .
$$

Since the maximum of finitely many eventually linear functions in one variable is an eventually linear function, it is enough to prove the statement for each $C_{i}$. That is, we may assume that, up to a shift $\left(-w_{1},-w_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, the module $N$ has the form $A / P$ with $P=p A+J$ where $p$ is a prime ideal of $A_{0}$ and $J$ is generated by a
subset of the variables. With $G=\left\{i: Y_{i} \notin P\right\}$, we have

$$
\rho_{N}(v)= \begin{cases}\max \left\{d_{i}: i \in G\right\}\left(v-w_{2}\right)+w_{1} & \text { if } G \neq \emptyset \text { and } v \geq w_{2}, \\ -\infty & \text { if } G=\emptyset \text { and } v>w_{2}\end{cases}
$$

## 4 Regularity and Powers

We return to the notation of Sect. 1. For a finitely generated graded $R$-module $M$ and a homogeneous ideal $I$ of $R$ we will study the behaviour of $\operatorname{reg}\left(I^{v} M\right)$ as a function of $v \in \mathbb{N}$. For simplicity we will assume throughout that $I^{v} M \neq 0$ for every $v$. Let us consider the Rees algebra $\operatorname{Rees}(I)$ of $I$ :

$$
\operatorname{Rees}(I)=\bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}} I^{v}
$$

with its natural bigraded structure given by

$$
\operatorname{Rees}(I)_{(i, v)}=\left(I^{v}\right)_{i}
$$

The Rees module of the pair $I, M$

$$
\operatorname{Rees}(I, M)=\bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}} I^{v} M
$$

is clearly a finitely generated $\operatorname{Rees}(I)$-module naturally bigraded by

$$
\operatorname{Rees}(I, M)_{(i, v)}=\left(I^{v} M\right)_{i}
$$

Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{g}$ be a set of minimal homogeneous generators of $I$ of degrees, say, $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{g} \in \mathbb{N}$. We may present $\operatorname{Rees}(I)$ as a quotient of

$$
B=R\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{g}\right]
$$

via the map

$$
\psi: B \rightarrow \operatorname{Rees}(I), \quad Y_{i} \rightarrow f_{i} \in I_{d_{i}}=\operatorname{Rees}(I)_{\left(d_{i}, 1\right)}
$$

Actually $B$ is naturally bigraded if we assign bidegree $(i, 0)$ to $x \in R_{i}$ as an element of $B$ and by set deg $Y_{j}=\left(d_{j}, 1\right)$.

Consider the extension $Q_{R} B$ of $Q_{R}$ to $B$ and the Koszul homology

$$
H\left(Q_{R} B, \operatorname{Rees}(I, M)\right)=H\left(Q_{R}, \operatorname{Rees}(I, M)\right)=\bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}} H\left(Q_{R}, I^{v} M\right)
$$

Since $Q_{R} H\left(Q_{R}, \operatorname{Rees}(I, M)\right)=0$ the module $H\left(Q_{R}, \operatorname{Rees}(I, M)\right)$ acquires naturally the structure of finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $B / Q_{R} B$-module. Here

$$
B / Q_{R} B=R_{0}\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{g}\right]
$$

has a bigraded structure defined in Sect. 3. Now for $i=0, \ldots, n$ we let

$$
t_{i}(M)=\sup \left\{j: H_{i}\left(Q_{R}, M\right)_{j} \neq 0\right\}
$$

We have:
Theorem 4.1 Let I be a homogeneous ideal of $R$ minimally generated by homogeneous elements of degree $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{g}$ and $M$ be a finitely generated graded $R$-module. Then there exist $\delta \in\left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{g}\right\}$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(I^{v} M\right)=\delta v+c \text { for } v \gg 0
$$

Proof For $i=0, \ldots, n$ consider the $i$-th Koszul homology module:

$$
H_{i}=H_{i}\left(Q_{R}, \operatorname{Rees}(I, M)\right)=\bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}} H_{i}\left(Q_{R}, I^{v} M\right)
$$

As already observed $H_{i}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $B / Q_{R} B$-module. Furthermore $\rho_{H_{i}}(v)=t_{i}\left(I^{v} M\right)$. Therefore we may apply Lemma 3.3 and have that either $H_{i}\left(Q_{R}, I^{v} M\right)=0$ for large $v$ or $t_{i}\left(I^{v} M\right)$ is a linear function of $v$ for large $v$ with leading coefficient in $\left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{g}\right\}$. As reg $\left(I^{v} M\right)=\max \left\{t_{i}\left(I^{v} M\right)-i: i=\right.$ $0, \ldots, n\}$ we may conclude that $\operatorname{reg}\left(I^{v} M\right)$ is eventually a linear function in $v$ with leading coefficient in $\left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{g}\right\}$.

Theorem 4.1 has been proved in [11] and [17] when $R$ is a polynomial ring over a field and in [21] for general base rings. Our proof is a modification (and a slight simplification) of the one given in [11]. Here and also in Sect. 2 our work was largely inspired by the papers of Chardin on the subject, in particular by [7-10]. The $\delta$ appearing in Theorem 4.1 can be characterized in terms of minimal reductions, see $[17,21]$ for details. The nature of the others invariants arising from Theorem 4.1, i.e., the constant term $c$ and the least $v_{0}$ such that the formula holds for each $v \geq v_{0}$, have been deeply investigated in $[1,8,10,14,15]$ and are relatively well understood in small dimension but remain largely unknown in general.

## 5 Linear Powers

Assume now that the minimal generators of $I$ have all degree $d$ and that the minimal generators of $M$ have all degree $d_{0}$. Hence $I^{v} M$ is generated by elements of degree $v d+d_{0}$ and therefore $\operatorname{reg}\left(I^{v} M\right) \geq v d+d_{0}$ for every $v$.

Definition 5.1 We say that $I$ has linear powers with respect to $M$ if $\operatorname{reg}\left(I^{v} M\right)=$ $v d+d_{0}$ for every $v$.

When $R_{0}$ is a field, $I$ has linear powers with respect to $M$ if and only if for every $v$ the matrices representing the maps in the minimal $S$-free resolution of $I^{v} M$ have entries of degree 1 .

We will give a characterization of linear powers in terms of the homological properties of the Rees module Rees $(I, M)$. Note that, under the current assumptions, $\operatorname{Rees}(I)$ and $\operatorname{Rees}(I, M)$ can be given a compatible and "normalized" $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded structure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Rees}(I)_{(i, v)} & =\left(I^{v}\right)_{v d+i}, \\
\operatorname{Rees}(I, M)_{(i, v)} & =\left(I^{v} M\right)_{v d+d_{0}+i}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the presentation point of view, this amounts to set $\operatorname{deg} Y_{i}=(0,1)$ so that $B=R\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{g}\right]$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $R_{0}$-algebra with generators in degree $(1,0)$, the elements of $R_{1}$, and in degree $(0,1)$, the $Y_{i}$ 's. With the notations introduced in Sect. 2, we have that $\operatorname{Rees}(I, M)^{(*, v)}=\left(I^{v} M\right)\left(v d+d_{0}\right)$. So, applying Proposition 2.1:
$\operatorname{reg}_{(1,0)} \operatorname{Rees}(I, M)=\max \left\{\operatorname{reg} \operatorname{Rees}(I, M)^{(*, v)}: v \in \mathbb{N}\right\}=\max \left\{\operatorname{reg} I^{v} M-v d-d_{0}: v \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.
Summing up we have:

## Theorem 5.2

(1) $\operatorname{reg} I^{v} M \leq v d+d_{0}+\operatorname{reg}_{(1,0)} \operatorname{Rees}(I, M)$ for all $v$ and the equality holds for at least one $v$.
(2) I has linear powers with respect to $M$ if and only if $\operatorname{reg}_{(1,0)} \operatorname{Rees}(I, M)=0$.

When $R$ is the polynomial ring over a field and $M=R$ Theorem 5.2 part (2) has been proved in [5] extending earlier results of Römer [20].

Theorems 5.2 and 4.1 have been generalized to the case where the single ideal $I$ is replaced with a set of ideals $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{p}$ and one looks at the regularity $\operatorname{reg}\left(I_{1}^{v_{1}} \cdots I_{p}^{v_{p}} M\right)$ as a function of $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{p}$. The main difference is that $\operatorname{reg}\left(I_{1}^{v_{1}} \cdots I_{p}^{v_{p}} M\right)$ is (only) a piecewise linear function unless each ideal $I_{i}$ is generated in a single degree, see $[3,4,16]$ for details.
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