

ON THE NUMBER OF ELEMENTS INDEPENDENT WITH RESPECT TO AN IDEAL

WINFRIED BRUNS

Let R denote a commutative noetherian ring, and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R . Elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ are called *independent with respect to* \mathfrak{a} , or simply \mathfrak{a} -independent, if every form $F \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ has all its coefficients in \mathfrak{a} . Valla [10] introduced the notation $\text{sup } \mathfrak{a}$ for the maximal number of \mathfrak{a} -independent elements in \mathfrak{a} . He found that $\text{sup } \mathfrak{a}$ is bounded above by the height of \mathfrak{a} (which we denote by $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a}$) whereas the lower bound $\text{grade } \mathfrak{a}$ was established by Rees:

PROPOSITION 1. $\text{grade } \mathfrak{a} \leq \text{sup } \mathfrak{a} \leq \text{ht } \mathfrak{a}$.

(The grade of \mathfrak{a} is the maximal length of a R -sequence contained in \mathfrak{a} .) More precisely Rees provided in [9] the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a R -sequence. Then x_1, \dots, x_n are independent with respect to the ideal they generate in R .*

The relationship between R -sequences and sequences of elements which are independent with respect to an ideal can best be explained in terms of the Rees ring of an ideal \mathfrak{a} . It is the graded ring

$$R(\mathfrak{a}) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{a}^i.$$

Let x_1, \dots, x_n generate \mathfrak{a} . Then, as was shown in the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [9], x_1, \dots, x_n are independent with respect to an ideal $\mathfrak{b} \supset \mathfrak{a}$ if and only if the homomorphism $R[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow R(\mathfrak{a})$, which sends X_i to x_i , induces an isomorphism

$$\phi : (R/\mathfrak{b})[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow R(\mathfrak{a})/\mathfrak{b}R(\mathfrak{a}),$$

and exactly when x_1, \dots, x_n form a R -sequence, ϕ is already an isomorphism for $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}$ (cf. [6; Theorem p. 202], and observe that $R(\mathfrak{a})/\mathfrak{a}R(\mathfrak{a})$ is just the associated graded ring of R with respect to \mathfrak{a}).

A system of parameters in a local ring R is independent with respect to the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_R of R ; the most important consequence of this theorem is the analytic independence of a system of parameters in a complete equicharacteristic local ring [13; Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2]. By an elementary localization argument one can further conclude that $\text{sup } \mathfrak{b} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{b}$ for radical ideals \mathfrak{b} [10; Theorem 5.4].

We do not believe that $\text{sup } \mathfrak{a}$ can always be expressed in terms of better

understood invariants of \mathfrak{a} . There are simple examples of ideals \mathfrak{a} with $\sup \mathfrak{a}^n < \sup \mathfrak{a}$ for some $n > 1$. They demonstrate that it is in general impossible to compute $\sup \mathfrak{a}$ from invariants which do not change if \mathfrak{a} is replaced by a power of itself. Thus one is led to study the "asymptotic stabilization"

$$\sup^\infty \mathfrak{a} := \inf \{ \sup \mathfrak{a}^n : n \geq 1 \},$$

and this is the main object of our note. The final theorem is a bit too technical to be given here. However, two special cases suffice to indicate the nature of our results:

(1) Let R be a local ring, and \mathfrak{m}_R its maximal ideal; then $\sup^\infty \mathfrak{m}_R$ is the minimal Krull dimension of an associated prime ideal in the \mathfrak{m}_R -adic completion \hat{R} of R :

$$\sup^\infty \mathfrak{m}_R = \min \{ \dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } \hat{R} \}.$$

(2) If R is an excellent domain, then $\sup^\infty \mathfrak{a} = \sup \mathfrak{a} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{a}$ for every ideal \mathfrak{a} of R .

We start our investigation by giving an upper bound for $\sup^\infty \mathfrak{a}$. It is a consequence of Proposition 1 and the lemma of Artin-Rees.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let R be a noetherian ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R , and S a flat R -algebra. Then $\sup^\infty \mathfrak{a} \leq \text{ht } (\mathfrak{a}S + \mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p}$ for every associated prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of S .*

Proof. According to Proposition 1 it is enough to prove that $\sup^\infty \mathfrak{a} \leq \sup^\infty (\mathfrak{a}S + \mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p}$. An associated prime ideal \mathfrak{p} is the annihilator of an element $x \in S$. As a consequence of the lemma of Artin-Rees (cf. [8; (3.12)], there exists an integer j such that

$$(\mathfrak{a}^i S) : xS \subset \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}^{i-j} S \quad (*)$$

for $i > j$. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be elements of \mathfrak{a}^{m+j} , where m is chosen such that $\sup^\infty (\mathfrak{a}S + \mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p} = \sup (\mathfrak{a}^m S + \mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p}$. In case $n > \sup (\mathfrak{a}^m S + \mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p}$, one can find a form $F \in S[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, which is not contained in $(\mathfrak{a}^m S + \mathfrak{p})S[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and vanishes at (x_1, \dots, x_n) modulo \mathfrak{p} . Then $xF(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$, and at least one coefficient of xF lies outside $\mathfrak{a}^{m+j} S$ because of (*). Since the extension from R to S is flat by hypothesis, every S -relation of elements of R is a linear combination of R -relations. Therefore x_1, \dots, x_n can not be \mathfrak{a}^{m+j} -independent.

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 3 one obtains $\sup^\infty \mathfrak{m}_R \leq \min \{ \dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } \hat{R} \}$ for the maximal ideal of a local ring R . The lemma below is the first step towards the proof of the opposite inequality.

LEMMA. *Let R be a complete local ring with a single associated prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , and x_1, \dots, x_r a system of parameters of R . Then there is a ring $S \subset R$ with the following properties:*

- (a) S is a complete local ring. S is regular or a residue class ring of a regular local ring modulo a power of a prime element;
- (b) R is a finitely generated torsionfree S -module;
- (c) the elements x_1, \dots, x_r form a system of parameters in S ;
- (d) for every non-zero $x \in R$ there exists a $f \in \text{Hom}_S(R, S)$ with $f(x) \neq 0$.

Proof. We use the structure theorems for complete local rings [5]. If R is equi-characteristic, then we choose $S = K[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$ where K is a coefficient field of R . Otherwise there exist a complete discrete valuation domain V and a local homomorphism $\phi : V[[X_1, \dots, X_r]] \rightarrow R$, which sends X_i to x_i and induces an isomorphism $V/\mathfrak{m}_V \xrightarrow{\sim} R/\mathfrak{m}_R$. Then we take $S = V[[X_1, \dots, X_r]]/\text{Ker } \phi$. In both cases S is complete, R is a finitely generated S -module by [8; (30.6)], and S is regular in the equi-characteristic case. The fundamental theorems on integral extensions imply that the dimensions of R and S coincide, that x_1, \dots, x_r is a system of parameters in S , and that $\mathfrak{p} \cap S$ is the only associated prime ideal of S . Therefore every non-zero divisor of S is a non-zero divisor of R : the S -module R is torsionfree. Since

$$\dim V[[X_1, \dots, X_r]] = \dim R + 1 = \dim S + 1,$$

$\text{Ker } \phi$ is an ideal of height 1, and has a single prime divisor, as was just shown. Hence $\text{Ker } \phi$ is generated by a power of a prime element in the factorial ring $V[[X_1, \dots, X_r]]$. This completes the proof of (a), (b) and (c).

Property (d) follows from (a) and (b): Every finitely generated torsionfree module M over S is torsionless, i.e. the natural homomorphism

$$M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_S(M, S), S)$$

is injective. In fact, it suffices that the localization of S with respect to its associated prime ideal is a Gorenstein ring [12; Theorem (A.1)].

PROPOSITION 4. *Let R be a complete local ring with a single associated prime ideal, and x_1, \dots, x_r a system of parameters in R . Then, given an integer m , the elements x_1^i, \dots, x_r^i are independent with respect to \mathfrak{m}_R^m for i sufficiently large.*

Proof. We choose S as in the preceding lemma. Let \mathfrak{a}_i denote the ideal generated by x_1^i, \dots, x_r^i in S , and put

$$N_i := \bigcap \{ f^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_i) : f \in \text{Hom}_S(R, S) \}.$$

A theorem of Chevalley [8; (30.1)] tells us: If (\mathfrak{b}_i) is a descending chain of ideals in a complete local ring such that $\bigcap \mathfrak{b}_i = 0$, then $\mathfrak{b}_i \subset \mathfrak{m}_R^m$ for all sufficiently large i . This theorem remains valid if one replaces (\mathfrak{b}_i) by a descending chain (M_i) of submodules of a finitely generated T -module M and, correspondingly, \mathfrak{m}_R^m by $\mathfrak{m}_T^m M$.

The S -modules N_i of R form a descending chain, Since $\bigcap \mathfrak{a}_i = 0$, property (d) of S , as given by the lemma, guarantees that $\bigcap N_i = 0$, and thus $N_i \subset \mathfrak{m}_S^m R \subset \mathfrak{m}_R^m$ as soon as i is large enough. Let now

$$F = \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_r = d} a_{j_1 \dots j_r} X_1^{j_1} \dots X_r^{j_r}$$

be a form in $R[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ such that $F(x_1^i, \dots, x_r^i) = 0$. Then for all $f \in \text{Hom}_S(R, S)$ we obtain

$$f(F(x_1^i, \dots, x_r^i)) = \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_r = d} f(a_{j_1 \dots j_r}) x_1^{ij_1} \dots x_r^{ij_r} = 0.$$

By Proposition 2, all the elements $f(a_{j_1 \dots j_r})$ are contained in \mathfrak{a}_i , hence $a_{j_1 \dots j_r} \in N_i \subset \mathfrak{m}_R^m$ if i is sufficiently large.

The idea, by which we shall now derive the first main result, has already been used in the proof of [4; Satz 6].

THEOREM 1. *Let R be a local ring, and $\mathfrak{n}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{n}_s = 0$ a reduced primary decomposition of the zero ideal in \hat{R} . Let the images of $x_1, \dots, x_r \in R$ under the natural homomorphism $R \rightarrow \hat{R}/\mathfrak{n}_t$ form part of a system of parameters in \hat{R}/\mathfrak{n}_t for $t = 1, \dots, s$. Then, given m , the elements x_1^i, \dots, x_r^i are independent with respect to \mathfrak{m}_R^m for i sufficiently large.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{m}_R^m \cap R = \mathfrak{m}_R^m$, we may assume that $R = \hat{R}$. By the preceding proposition, the elements x_1^i, \dots, x_r^i modulo \mathfrak{n}_t are independent with respect to the k -th power of the maximal ideal of R/\mathfrak{n}_t , if i is chosen large enough. Hence x_1^i, \dots, x_r^i themselves are independent with respect to

$$\mathfrak{a}_k := \bigcap_{t=1}^s (\mathfrak{m}_R^k + \mathfrak{n}_t).$$

Now we only need to prove that the inclusion $\mathfrak{a}_k \subset \mathfrak{m}_R^m$ holds for large k . This, however, is again a consequence of Chevalley's theorem since we have

$$\bigcap_k \mathfrak{a}_k = \bigcap_{t=1}^s \left(\bigcap_k (\mathfrak{m}_R^k + \mathfrak{n}_t) \right) = \bigcap_{t=1}^s \mathfrak{n}_t = 0.$$

COROLLARY. *Let R be a local ring. Then*

$$\sup^\infty \mathfrak{m}_R = \min \{ \dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } \hat{R} \}.$$

Proof. With the notation of Theorem 1, we can find elements $x_1, \dots, x_r \in R$, satisfying the hypothesis of that theorem, by elementary prime avoidance arguments, as long as $r \leq \min \{ \dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } \hat{R} \}$.

The minimal dimension of an associated prime divisor of \hat{R} was thoroughly investigated in [2], and was (under mild restrictions) characterized as the maximal length of so-called quasi- R -sequences [2; (5.5)]. The preceding corollary provides another characterization by intrinsic properties of R .

Now we can compute $\sup^\infty \mathfrak{a}$ for ideals \mathfrak{a} in arbitrary noetherian rings, and simultaneously improve the lower bound of Proposition 1. Brodmann [3] recently showed that the set of prime ideals in R , which are associated to $R/\mathfrak{a}^n R$, is independent of n for n sufficiently large. We denote this collection of prime ideals by $\text{Ass}^\infty \mathfrak{a}$. (To avoid any ambiguity: $\hat{R}_\mathfrak{p}$ is the $\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}$ -adic completion of $R_\mathfrak{p}$.)

THEOREM 2. *Let R be a noetherian ring, and \mathfrak{a} an ideal in R . Then*

$$\sup \mathfrak{a} \geq \min \{ \text{ht}(\mathfrak{a}\hat{R}_\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})/\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } R/\mathfrak{a}, \quad \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass } \hat{R}_\mathfrak{p} \},$$

and

$$\sup^\infty \mathfrak{a} = \min \{ \text{ht}(\mathfrak{a}\hat{R}_\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})/\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}^\infty \mathfrak{a}, \quad \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass } \hat{R}_\mathfrak{p} \}.$$

Proof. In view of Proposition 3 it is enough to prove the inequality. We use an obvious localization argument. The ideal \mathfrak{a} has a reduced primary decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{b}_i, \quad \mathfrak{b}_i \text{ being primary to a prime ideal } \mathfrak{p}_i, \text{ and } \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\} = \text{Ass } R/\mathfrak{a}.$$
 Let r denote the number on the right hand side of the inequality. Using Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem and elementary prime avoidance arguments one constructs elements $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathfrak{a}$, which have the following property: their images under each of the natural homomorphism $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}$ form part of a system of parameters in $\widehat{R}_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}$, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } R/\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass } \widehat{R}_\mathfrak{p}$. Then x_1, \dots, x_r satisfy the requirements of Theorem 1 in every localization $R_\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } R/\mathfrak{a}$. Hence x_1^i, \dots, x_r^i are $\mathfrak{b}_i R_\mathfrak{p}$ -independent, $\mathfrak{b}_i R_\mathfrak{p}$ containing a power of $\mathfrak{p}_i R_\mathfrak{p}$, as soon as i is large enough. This suffices to render x_1^i, \dots, x_r^i independent with respect to \mathfrak{a} since $\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{b}_i$ and $\mathfrak{b}_i = \mathfrak{b}_i R_\mathfrak{p} \cap R$.

Theorem 2 answers many questions raised in [1] and [11]. In particular, we can describe the rings in which $\text{sup } \mathfrak{a} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{a}$ for all ideals.

COROLLARY 1. *Let R be a noetherian ring. Then $\text{sup } \mathfrak{a} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{a}$ for all ideals \mathfrak{a} of R if and only if $\dim \widehat{R}_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}$ for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R and all associated prime ideals \mathfrak{q} of $\widehat{R}_\mathfrak{p}$.*

Proof. If $\text{sup } \mathfrak{a} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{a}$ for all ideals \mathfrak{a} of R , then in particular $\text{sup}^\infty \mathfrak{p} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}$, and

$$\text{sup}^\infty \mathfrak{p} \leq \text{ht } (\mathfrak{p}\widehat{R}_\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})/\mathfrak{q} \leq \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}\widehat{R}_\mathfrak{p} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}$$

by Proposition 3. On the other hand, if $\dim \widehat{R}_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}$ for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} and all associated prime ideals \mathfrak{q} of $\widehat{R}_\mathfrak{p}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ht } \mathfrak{a} &= \min \{ \dim R_\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } R/\mathfrak{a} \} \\ &= \min \{ \dim \widehat{R}_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } R/\mathfrak{a}, \quad \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass } \widehat{R}_\mathfrak{p} \} \\ &\leq \text{sup } \mathfrak{a} \leq \text{ht } \mathfrak{a} \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 1 and Theorem 2.

Nagata gave an example of a two dimensional local domain R [8; pp. 204, 205] such that \widehat{R} has an associated prime ideal \mathfrak{q} with $\dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{q} = 1$. Thus, even in local domains $\text{sup } \mathfrak{a}$ does not always equal $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a}$. However, in a class of rings, which behave well under completion, we can simplify our formula considerably:

COROLLARY 2. *Let R be an excellent integral domain. Then for every ideal \mathfrak{a} in R one has $\text{sup } \mathfrak{a} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{a}$.*

Proof. The localizations of R are excellent again, and the completions of excellent local domains are (reduced and) equi-dimensional (cf. [7]).

References

1. J. Barshay, "Generalized analytic independence", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 58 (1976), 32–36.
2. M. Brodmann, "Über die minimale Dimension der assoziierten Primideale der Kompletion eines lokalen Integritätsbereiches", *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 50 (1975), 219–232.
3. M. Brodmann, "Asymptotic stability of $\text{Ass}(M/I^n M)$ ", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 74 (1979), 16–18.
4. W. Bruns, "Zur Einbettung von Moduln in zyklische Moduln und direkte Summen zyklischer Moduln", *J. Algebra*, 53 (1978), 239–252.
5. I. S. Cohen, "On the structure and ideal theory of complete local rings", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 59 (1946), 54–106.
6. E. D. Davis, "Ideals of the principal class, R -sequences and a certain monoidal transformation", *Pacific J. Math.*, 20 (1967), 197–205.
7. A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV₂*, Publications Mathématiques 24 (Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris, 1965).
8. M. Nagata, *Local rings* (Interscience, New York, 1962).
9. D. Rees, "The grade of an ideal or module", *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 53 (1957), 28–42.
10. G. Valla, "Elementi indipendenti rispetto ad un ideale", *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 44 (1970), 339–354.
11. G. Valla, "Remarks on generalized analytic independence", *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 85 (1979), 281–289.
12. W. V. Vasconcelos, "Reflexive modules over Gorenstein rings", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19 (1968), 1349–1355.
13. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*, Vol. II (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960).

Fachbereich 3, Naturwissenschaften/Mathematik,
 Universität Osnabrück,
 – Abt. Vechta –,
 Driverstraße 22,
 D-2848 Vechta,
 West Germany.