

Stanley decompositions and Hilbert depth in the Koszul complex

Winfried Bruns

FB Mathematik/Informatik
Universität Osnabrück

wbruns@uos.de

Kingston, September 27, 2010

Joint work with

Christian Krattenthaler and Jan Uliczka

to appear in *J. Commut. Algebra* (Fröberg volume)

The framework

We consider

- $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, K a field, with the
 - **standard grading**, $\deg X_i = 1$, indicated by subscript 1,
 - the **multigrading**, $\deg X_i = e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, indicated by subscript n ,
- a finitely generated graded R -module.

The Hilbert function of M is denoted by

$$H(M, k) = \dim_K M_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^m, \quad m = 1 \text{ or } m = n.$$

The Hilbert series

The **Hilbert series** are defined by

$$H_M(T) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H(M, k) T^k,$$
$$H_M(T_1, \dots, T_n) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} H(M, k) T_1^{k_1} \cdots T_n^{k_n},$$

They are **rational functions**:

$$H_M(T) = \frac{Q_M(T)}{(1 - T)^n}$$
$$H_M(T_1, \dots, T_n) = \frac{Q_M(T_1, \dots, T_n)}{(1 - T_1) \cdots (1 - T_n)},$$

where $Q_M(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm 1}]$ and $Q_M(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_n^{\pm 1}]$

Stanley decompositions and Stanley depth

Definition

A **Stanley decomposition** of M is a finite family

$$\mathcal{D} = (S_i, x_i)_{i \in I}$$

in which x_i is a homogeneous element of M and S_i is a **graded K -algebra retract** of R for each $i \in I$ such that $S_i \cap \text{Ann } x_i = 0$, and

$$M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} S_i x_i \quad (= \mathcal{D})$$

as a graded K -vector space.

Definition

The *Stanley depth* **Stdepth** M of M is the maximal depth of a Stanley decomposition of M .

Hilbert decompositions and Hilbert depth

Definition

A **Hilbert decomposition** is a finite family

$$\mathcal{H} = (S_i, s_i)_{i \in I}$$

such that $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ (where $m = 1$ or $m = n$), S_i is a **graded K -algebra retract** of R for each $i \in I$, and

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} S_i(-s_i) \quad (= \mathcal{H})$$

as a graded K -vector space.

Definition

The **Hilbert depth** $\text{Hdepth } M$ of M is the maximal depth of a Hilbert decomposition of M .

Hilbert depth and Hilbert series

Suppose $\mathcal{H} = (S_i, s_i)_{i \in I}$ is a Hilbert decomposition. Then

$$H_M(T_1, \dots, T_n) = \sum_i H_{S_i(-s_i)}(\dots) = \sum_i \frac{T_1^{s_{i1}} \dots T_n^{s_{in}}}{\prod_{j \in U_i} (1 - T_j)}.$$

Thus $\text{depth } \mathcal{H} \geq d \iff |U_i| \geq d \text{ for all } i.$

This amounts to the following for the numerator polynomial: It can be written as a sum of terms

$$\text{monomial} \cdot \prod_{k \in V_i} (1 - T_k)$$

with $U_i \cup V_i = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $U_i \cap V_i = \emptyset.$

Thus $\text{depth } \mathcal{H} \geq d \iff |U_i| \leq n - d \text{ for all } i.$

Stanley depth and Hilbert depth

Conjecture (Stanley)

$$\text{Stdepth } M \geq \text{depth } M$$

Since a Stanley decomposition is a Hilbert decomposition:

$$\text{Stdepth}_n M \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Hdepth}_n M \\ \text{Stdepth}_1 M \end{array} \right\} \leq \text{Hdepth}_1 M$$

Strategy:

- compute a Hilbert decomposition,
- convert it into a Stanley decomposition.

Alas: does not help in the case of fine gradings ($\dim M_k \leq 1$ for all k).

Question

$$\text{Hdepth } M \geq \text{depth } M \quad ??$$

Hilbert depth in the standard graded case

Stanley's conjecture was a theorem in the standard graded case for $|K| = \infty$ (Baclawski and Garsia). Moreover, Hilbert depth can be computed "easily" in the standard graded case:

Theorem (Uliczka)

Then the following numbers coincide:

- 1 $\max\{\text{depth } N : H_M(T) = H_N(T)\},$
- 2 $\text{Hdepth}_1 M,$
- 3 *the maximum d such that*

$$H_M(T) = \sum_{e=d}^n \frac{Q_e(T)}{(1-T)^e}, \quad Q_e(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_+[T, T^{-1}],$$

- 4 $\max\{p : (1-T)^p H_M(T) \text{ positive}\},$
- 5 $n - \min\{q : Q_M(T)/(1-T)^q \text{ positive}\}.$

The Koszul complex

The Koszul complex

$$\mathcal{H}(X_1, \dots, X_n; R) : 0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^n R^n \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigwedge^{n-1} R^n \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} R^n \xrightarrow{\partial} R \rightarrow 0$$

resolves $K \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{m} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

Let $M(n, k)$ be the k -th syzygy module of K ($M(n, 1) = \mathfrak{m}$).

The basis vector $e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}$ of $\bigwedge^k R^n$, $i_1 < \dots < i_k$, has multidegree $X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k}$ and standard degree k .

Lower half: $1 \leq k < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$.

Upper half: $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \leq k < n$.

Multigraded Stanley and Hilbert depth

Theorem (Biró et al.)

$$\text{Hdepth}_1 m = \text{Hdepth}_n m = \text{Stdepth}_n m = \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor.$$

Induction arguments yield

Corollary

$$\text{Stdepth}_n M(n, k) \geq \lfloor (n+k)/2 \rfloor.$$

However,

Theorem

In the upper half

$$\text{Hdepth}_1 M(n, k) = \text{Hdepth}_n M(n, k) = n - 1.$$

Proof.

Multigraded numerator polynomial of Hilbert series of $M(n, k)$ is

$$Q(n, k) = \sigma_{n,k} - \sigma_{n,k+1} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-k} \sigma_{n,n}$$

where $\sigma_{n,k}$ is the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial in T_1, \dots, T_n .

For $j \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ one has an **injective map** from the monomials in $\sigma_{n,j+1}$ to those in $\sigma_{n,j}$ that maps each monomial to a **divisor**! Apply this with $j = k, k + 2, \dots$. Consequence:

$Q(n, k)$ can be written as a sum of terms of type

- monomial
- $(1 - T_i)$ -monomial



The case $k = n - 3$

For $n = 5$, $k = 2$ we have realized the Hilbert decomposition as a Stanley decomposition. By induction

Proposition

$$\text{Stdepth}_n M(n, n - 3) = n - 1.$$

Question

Is $\text{Stdepth} M(n, k) = n - 1$ in the upper half?

It is enough to do the case n odd, $k = (n - 1)/2$.

Standard Hilbert depth in the lower half

The numerator polynomial of the standard Hilbert series of $M(n, k)$

$$\binom{n}{k} T^k - \binom{n}{k+1} T^{k+1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-k} T^n$$

How often do we have to sum (i.e. divide by $1 - T$) to get a positive power series? At least

$$v = \lceil \binom{n}{k+1} / \binom{n}{k} \rceil \quad \text{times}$$

Proposition

$$\text{Hdepth}_1 M(n, k) \leq n - \left\lceil \frac{n-k}{k+1} \right\rceil.$$

Is this **naive bound** sharp? Yes... for $n \leq 22$, but not for $n = 23$, $k = 3, 4, 5$. (It is sharp for the powers of m .)

Standard Hilbert depth in the lower half

The numerator polynomial of the standard Hilbert series of $M(n, k)$

$$\binom{n}{k} T^k - \binom{n}{k+1} T^{k+1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-k} T^n$$

How often do we have to sum (i.e. divide by $1 - T$) to get a positive power series? At least

$$v = \left\lceil \binom{n}{k+1} / \binom{n}{k} \right\rceil \quad \text{times}$$

Proposition

$$\text{Hdepth}_1 M(n, k) \leq n - \left\lceil \frac{n-k}{k+1} \right\rceil.$$

Is this **naive bound** sharp? Yes... for $n \leq 22$, but not for $n = 23$, $k = 3, 4, 5$. (It is sharp for the powers of m .)

Standard Hilbert depth in the lower half

The numerator polynomial of the standard Hilbert series of $M(n, k)$

$$\binom{n}{k} T^k - \binom{n}{k+1} T^{k+1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-k} T^n$$

How often do we have to sum (i.e. divide by $1 - T$) to get a positive power series? At least

$$v = \lceil \binom{n}{k+1} / \binom{n}{k} \rceil \quad \text{times}$$

Proposition

$$\text{Hdepth}_1 M(n, k) \leq n - \left\lceil \frac{n-k}{k+1} \right\rceil.$$

Is this **naive bound** sharp? Yes... for $n \leq 22$, but not for $n = 23$, $k = 3, 4, 5$. (It is sharp for the powers of m .)

Proposition

Let $Q_{n,k}$ be the numerator polynomial of the \mathbb{Z} -graded Hilbert series of $M(n, k)$. Then

$$\frac{Q_{n,k}}{(1-T)^s} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left((-1)^j \binom{n-s}{k+j} + \sum_{t=1}^s \binom{n-t}{k-1} \binom{s-t+j}{s-t} \right) T^{j+k}$$

Hypergeometric sum ... E-mail to Krattentahler: [help!!!!](#)

Answer: not summable since of type ${}_3F_2$. No explicit bound possible.

Proposition

Let $Q_{n,k}$ be the numerator polynomial of the \mathbb{Z} -graded Hilbert series of $M(n, k)$. Then

$$\frac{Q_{n,k}}{(1-T)^s} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left((-1)^j \binom{n-s}{k+j} + \sum_{t=1}^s \binom{n-t}{k-1} \binom{s-t+j}{s-t} \right) T^{j+k}$$

Hypergeometric sum ... E-mail to Krattentahler: **help!!!!**

Answer: not summable since of type ${}_3F_2$. No explicit bound possible.

Proposition

Let $Q_{n,k}$ be the numerator polynomial of the \mathbb{Z} -graded Hilbert series of $M(n, k)$. Then

$$\frac{Q_{n,k}}{(1-T)^s} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left((-1)^j \binom{n-s}{k+j} + \sum_{t=1}^s \binom{n-t}{k-1} \binom{s-t+j}{s-t} \right) T^{j+k}$$

Hypergeometric sum ... E-mail to Krattentahler: **help!!!!**

Answer: not summable since of type ${}_3F_2$. No explicit bound possible.

Theorem

For a fixed positive integer k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hdepth}_1 M(n, k) &= \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(k-1)n \log n} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{(k-1)n}{\log n}} \log \log n + o\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log n}} \log \log n\right), \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Without the o -term an **upper bound** for $k \geq 4$, $n \gg 0$.

We have another asymptotic result for $n, k \rightarrow \infty$, n/k fixed.

The quality of the asymptotic estimate is indicated by the following numerical results:

n	k	$\lfloor (n+k)/2 \rfloor$	Hdepth	asympt bd	$n - \left\lceil \frac{(n-k)}{(k+1)} \right\rceil$
50	6	28	41	43	43
100	6	53	75	77	86
200	6	103	139	142	172
500	6	253	318	321	429
1000	6	503	602	605	858

Asymptotic estimate II

Now we let n and k go to infinity simultaneously with n/k fixed:

Theorem

Let β be a positive real number with $\beta \leq 1/2$. For $k = \beta n + o(n)$, we have

$$\text{Hdepth}_1 M(n, k) = (1 - \gamma)n + o(n), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where γ is the smallest nonnegative solution of the equation

$$\frac{(\alpha + \gamma)^{\alpha + \gamma} (\alpha + \beta)^{\alpha + \beta} (1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma)^{1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma}}{\alpha^\alpha \beta^\beta \gamma^\gamma (1 - \beta)^{1 - \beta} (1 - \gamma)^{1 - \gamma}} = 1,$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - 2\beta - 2\gamma + \sqrt{(1 - 2\beta - 2\gamma)^2 - 8\beta\gamma} \right).$$

Again, the quality of the asymptotic estimate is surprising:

n	k	$\lfloor (n+k)/2 \rfloor$	Hdepth	asympt bd	$n - \left\lceil \frac{(n-k)}{(k+1)} \right\rceil$
48	12	30	44	46	45
100	25	62	94	96	97
200	50	125	190	192	197
500	125	312	479	481	497
1000	250	625	960	962	997